# Quaternization Kinetics. V. Di-tertiary Amines with Butyl Bromide in Propylene Carbonate ${ }^{1}$ 

By Lue-Yung Chow ${ }^{2,3}$ and Raymond M. Fuoss<br>Received September 26, 1957

I. Rate constants at $2 \overline{5}$ and $50^{\circ}$ for the quaternization by $n$-butyl bromide in propylene carbonate (dielectric constant 65 ) of the following amines were determined: $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, p-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$, $p, p^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{NMe}_{2}, 4,4^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{N}, 4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NCH}=\mathrm{CHC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$. The rate of quaternization of the second nitrogen in the diamines is always less (about $0.5-0.7$ ) than that of the first. The decrease is ascribed primarily to an electrostatic field effect rather than to an intramolecular shift of electron density. II. The main reaction product of dimethylaniline and butyl bromide in solvents of low dielectric constant is trimethylphenvlammonium bronide. Molecular decomposition of the expected primary addition product into methyl bromide and methylbutylaniline accounts for the result. III. The deceleration of the rate of quaternization of poly-4-vinylpyridine as the reaction proceeds is a consequence of electrostatic effects and not of the polymer structure per se.

## Introduction

The rate of quaternization of pyridine and 4picoline ${ }^{4-6}$ in a variety of solvents has been studied as a preliminary to attacking the problem of the peculiar behavior of poly-4-vinylpyridine on quaternization. ${ }^{7}$ The latter begins to quaternize at a normal rate, but the rate begins to decrease markedly after about half of the pyridine nitrogens have been quaternized. This behavior might be ascribed to one of (at least) three causes: (a) intramolecular electronic effects of quaternized neighbors located on the polymer chain; (b) extramolecular electrostatic field effects of quaternized neighbors; or (c) high local concentration of pyridine groups in the centers of polymer coils. The experiments presented in this paper were designed to test these hypotheses: our conclusion is that hypothesis (c) is excluded and that hypothesis (b) is probably correct.

The poly-4-vinylpyridine chain has the repeated structure

$$
-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHPy}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHPy} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2}-
$$

Obviously 1,3 -di-(4-pyridyl)-propane is the simplest model substance which can represent a segment of the chain, as far as effects of neighboring groups are concerned. We have found that the rate of quaternization of the second nitrogen in $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Py}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}$ is only about $70 \%$ of that of the first; consequently, the deceleration observed in the polymer does not depend primarily on the fact that the 4 -pyridyl groups are attached to a polymer chain. Furthermore, the rate constants for the second quaternization of 1,3 -dipyridylpropane and 1,2 -dipyridylethane are so nearly alike ( 3.3 $\times 10^{-4}$ and $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ) that an intramolecular inductive effect can hardly be considered as the cause of the decrease from the rate constant for the

[^0]first quaternization (4 to $5 \times 10^{-4}$, prastically equal to that for 4 -picoline). Comparison of these rates with those for two other model substances $\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}$ and $p, p^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{~N}-$ Me, suggests that the decrease probably is due to an extranolecular electrostatic field effect produced by the positive charge on the first nitrogen.

## Experimental

Materials.--Propylene carbonate (Jefferson Chemical Co.) was redistilled; the middle cut ( $111-114^{\circ}$ at 12 mm .) was used. Butyl bronide was redistilled. $p, p^{\prime}$-Methylene-bis-( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyaniline) was recrystallized twice from $95 \%$ ethanol after decolorizing with charcoal; approx. solubility in boiling ethanol, $8 \mathrm{~g} . / 100 \mathrm{cc} .$, nı.p. $89.5^{\prime \prime} . p^{\prime} p^{\prime}-$ Bis-(dimethylamino)-benzophenone was recrystallized from $95 \%$ ethanol; solubility, 4 g. $/ 100 \mathrm{cc} . ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .175^{\circ}$. Dimethylaniline was first purified by two fractional freezings, decanting and discarding about one-half each time. The final crystals were allowed to melt; the liquid was dried overnight with anhydrous calcium sulfate and then distilled under reduced pressure using nitrogen.
1,3-Di-(4-pyridyl)-propane was prepared by the Aldrich Chemical Company, by reaction between dimethoxymethane and 4 -picoline. 8 It was recrystallized from $5: 1 n$-hex-ane-benzene: 6.5 g . as first crop were recovered from 10 g . in 150 cc . of mixed solvent; in.p. 60.5-61.5 ${ }^{\circ}$. 1,2-Di-(4-pyridyl)-cthane also was prepared by Aldrich; it was recrystallized from 3:1 cyclohexane-benzene (approx. solubility, $7 . \overline{0} \mathrm{~g} . / 100 \mathrm{cc}$.). The spectrum ${ }^{9}$ showed regligible absorption at 299 and at $289 \mathrm{~m} \mu$, indicating absense of the corresponding ethylene from which it was prepared by hydrogenation, using charcoal supported palladiums as catalyst. Aldrich 1,2 -di-(4-pyridyl)-ethylene was recrystallized from water; solubility, $1.6 \mathrm{~g} . / 100 \mathrm{cc}$. at $100^{\circ}$; m.p. $153-$ $154^{\circ}$. We take this opportunity to thank Dr. Alfred Bader of the Aldrich Chemical Company-, Inc. (Milwaukee, Wisconsin), for his helpful coöperation in the syntlesis of the above compounds and for the information that the ethylene is the trans-derivative.
Method.-Solutions were prepared by weight and sealed into annpoules which were then placed in thermostats at $25^{\circ}$ (or $50^{\circ}$ ). At appropriate intervals, bromide ion content was determined potentiometrically, using 0.005 N silver nitrate solution. Details of procedure already have been described. ${ }^{\top}$

An interesting side problem arose from plans to check the rate of quaternization by conductimetric methcds; we started by attempting to synthesize dimethylbutylphenylammonium bromide from butyl bromide and ciimethylaniline, both by heating the two reagents together undiluted and in acetone solution. The yield was poor, in contrast to the usual nearly quantitative course of quaternization reactions. The recr-stallized products (m.p. 216-217 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) analyzed to $37.04 \% \mathrm{Br}, v s .30 .95 \%$ expected! Elementary

[^1]analysis (Schwarzkopf) gave the following results: C, $50.32,50.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.75,6.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.01,7.20$. Freslmman cliemistry applied to these figures suggested that our product was trimethylphenvlammonium bronide. Vorländer and Siebert ${ }^{10}$ report $214^{\circ}$ as the melting point of $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$. $\mathrm{NPl}_{1}$. Br and $110-115^{\circ}$ for the corresponding nitrate. We accordingly converted a sample of our bromide to nitrate by metathesis in aqueous solution witl silrer nitrate. The product on purification melted at $123-127^{\circ}$; considering the $5^{\circ}$ spread on the earlier melting point, we felt reasonably certain that our product was indeed MesNPhBr. We therefore prepared the latter salt by addition of metlyyl bromide to dimethylaniline in acetone solution. The product was recrystallized from sec-buty alcoliol; its infrared spectrum was then taken in chloroform solution. The fingerprint region is shown in Fig. 1B. Curve C of the same figure is the spectrum of the product obtained fron BuBr and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NPh}$; there can be no doubt that these reagents produce the trimethylphenyl salt.


Fig. 1.-Infrared spectra of salts.
We next investigated the additim of methyl bromike $t$, methylbutylaniline; the latter was mepared by the method of Reilly and Hickinbotton. ${ }^{11}$ (Since the expeeted inpurity in the MeBuNPh would be netliylaniline, the priduct was treated with phenyl isocyanate to remove it.) The purified anine was treated with methyl bromide in acetone solution; the solid which separated ( $85 \%$ yield) analyzed to $31.07,31.04 \% \mathrm{Br}$, in excellent agreement with the value calculated for $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}$ NPhBr. The infrared spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. ; it is, of course, markedly different from that of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NPhBr}$. These experiments thus show that MeBr adds normally to MeBuNPh but that BuBr gives an abnormal final product with $\mathrm{Men}_{2} N \mathrm{Ph}$. Since several of our kinetic studies on the addition of BuBr to aryl amines had already been completed, it obviously became necessary to learn whether the initial product of BuBr addition was normal and whether this reaction controlled the rate of production of bromine ion. Incidentally, dimethyltoluidine and butyl bromide also give the trimetliyl salt: the addition product analyzed to $34.82 \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{Br}$; calculated for $\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{NT} 1 \mathrm{Br}, 29.34$; calculated for MesNT $\mathrm{Br}, 34.72 \%$.
If BuMeqNPhBr is the initial product of the reacian.
(10) D. Vorlinder and 15 . Sielpert, ber.r 52,283 (1910) .
(11) J. Reilly and W. J. Hickinhottom, J. Chent. Six., 117, L30 (1920).
it shonld be possible to obtain $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NPl}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Br}$ fronn this salt by treatnent with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NPh}$. The butyldincethyl salt is much more soluble in acetone than the trinnetliyl salt. We therefore mixed the butyldimethyl salt and dimethylaniline ( 0.0058 mole each) in 20 cc . of acetone: no precinitate appeared in one day at room temperature but after 4 dass at $50^{\circ}$ (sealed tube), crystals appearcd. Their spectrmm is shown in Fig. 1D, which identifies then as $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$. VPhBr . The filtrate gave on evaporation a higlı boiling liquid whose spectrum is shown in Fig. 2C. Comparison with the


Irig. 2.-Infrared spectra of anlines.
spectra of MenNPli (Fig. 2B) and of BuMc.NPli (Fig. 2A) shows that the product is a mixture of the two amines. These experiments show that the trimethyl salt can be produced from the butyldimethyl salt in the presence of diniethylaniline, if the reaction is rapid and bimolecular
$\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{NPhBr}^{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{Me}_{2} . \mathrm{NPl}_{\mathrm{P}} \longrightarrow$

$$
\mathrm{Mc}_{4} . \mathrm{PP}_{1} B r+\mathrm{Bu}+\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{NPl}_{1}
$$

it woukl comsmme cimethylaniline (withont clanging bot ninde ion conecntration) and replace it by an equivalent amount of BuMeNPl which probably has a somewhat different rate of reaction witl butyl brominde.

Another possibility exists: nolecular dissociation of the butyldimethyl salt

$$
\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{NPl}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{Br} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BuMe} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{Ph}+\mathrm{MeBr}
$$

could release methyl bromide, which would then add to dinethylaniline to give the trinnethyl salt observed in the attempted synthesis. We therefore refluxed a sample of IuMe ${ }_{2} \mathrm{NPhBr}$ in acetone solution for 18 lr . On subsequent evaporation of the acetone, two liquid layers remained. The spectrum of the top layer is shown in Fig. 2D; it obviously is that of BuMeNPh and is evidence for reaction as the primary source of the trimethyl salt in the synthesis. Granting this, we have confidence in our kinetic data, becanse (2) requires the presence of ion pairs in order to oecur, and in propylene carbonate ( $D=65.1$ ) the concentration if in pairs would be lower by several orders of magnitude than in acetone whos: dielectric constant is only 20.7 . The sormg defendence of the quaternization rate an dielearis: embstanl of the sulvent clenumstrates the existence of a partially imized transitinn state, which can then either go ${ }^{\prime}$, an ion pair and dissociate into free ions or revert to an un-
charged system which can dissociate into amine and alkyl halide; evidently, when the latter occurs, methyl bromide splits off in preference to butyl bromide. Reaction 2 also accounts for the good yield in the preparation of $\mathrm{BuMe}_{2}-$ NPhBr from BuMeNPh and MeBr ; any decomposition by (2) simply regenerates reagent. The reaction is similar to the von Braun bromocyanogen reaction ${ }^{12 a}$ Hughes ${ }^{12 b}$ has also pointed out that addition of alkyl iodide to dimethyl sulfide frequently gives trimethylsulfonium iodide instead of the expected product.

Trimethylphenylammonium Bromide.-I. 116 g. (0.96 mole) of dimethylaniline and 131 g . ( 0.96 mole) of btityl bromide were mixed and heated at $100^{\circ}$ for 24 hr . under an air condenser. The solution turned blue and crystals ( 49 g.) separated. On two recrystallizations from sec-butyl alcohol, the product melting at $218^{\circ}$ and analyzing to $37.04 \% \mathrm{Br}$ was obtained. II. 49.7 g . ( 0.36 mole) of butyl bromide and 27.0 g . ( 0.20 mole ) of dimethylaniline were refluxed in 120 g , of acetone for 48 hr . Only 4.6 g . of salt separated; m.p. 216-217 ${ }^{\circ}$; $36.70 \% \mathrm{Br}$. III. 41.7 g . ( 0.44 mole ) of methyl bromide was absorbed in 98 g . of cold acetone. Then 26.3 g . ( 0.22 mole) of dimethylaniline was added, using a Dry Ice reflux. Salt crystallized out within 2 hr ., in nearly quantitative yield; after recrystallization from sec-butyl alcohol, the product melted at 212$213^{\circ}$. This material gave the spectrum of Fig. 1B (0.082 nolal in chloroform solution).

Dimethylbutylphenylammonium Bromide.-26.8 (0.25 mole) of methylaniline and 35.8 g . ( 0.26 mole ) of butyl bromide were heated on the steam-bath for 1 hr .; then 88 cc . of water was added and any unreacted material was extracted with petroleum ether. Butylmethylaniline was released by addition of sodium carbonate and chloride; it was dried with sodium hydroxide; yield 33 g . This product was heated for 3 hr . with 65 g . of phenyl isocyanate, and then 100 cc . of water was added to destroy the excess isocyanate. Steam distillation gave 18 g . of methylanilinefree BuMeNPh. This was dried over potassium hydroxide and distilled; $79-80^{\circ}$ at about 1.5 mm . Picrate: m.p. $86^{\circ}$; Reilly ${ }^{11}$ gives $90^{\circ}$. Next, 38 g . of methyl bromide was absorbed in 39 g . of acetone and 11.2 g . of BuMeNPh was added under Dry Ice reflux. Within 5 hr., solid separated; yield, after washing with acetone and drying over phosphorus pentoxide, 14.0 g . The salt is extremely hygroscopic, in contrast to $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NPhBr}$, which is only slightly hygroscopic. This product analyzed to $31.07,31.04 \% \mathrm{Br}$ and gave the spectrum of Fig. 1A (0.083 molal in chloroform).

Reaction 1.-1.501 g. ( 0.0058 mole) of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{BuNPhBr}$ and 0.698 g . ( 0.0058 mole) of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NPh}$ were dissolved in 16.7 g . of acetone and sealed in ampoules. Nothing was observed after one day, but after 4 days at $50^{\circ}$, crystals collected on the walls. From an ampoule containing 3.15 g. of solution, 94 mg . of crystals was obtained; m.p. 215$216^{\circ}$, spectrum Fig. 1D. The yield of 94 mg . corresponds to conversion of at least $44 \%$ of the butyldimethyl salt to trimethyl salt. The liquid in the tube was evaporated to give a blue gel plus a yellow oil; the latter gave the spectrum of Fig. 2C.

Reaction 2.-A solution of 0.775 g . of $\mathrm{BuMe} \mathrm{NOPhBr}^{\mathrm{NP}}$ in 36 g . of acetone was refluxed for 18 hr ., and then the acetone was pumped off by water aspirator. Two liquid layers (oil and aqueous salt solution) remained; the upper layer (weight, $0.15 \mathrm{~g} .$, corresponding to $30 \%$ decomposition) gave the spectrum of Fig. 2D.

## Results and Discussion

The data and results for the reference monoamines, dimethylaniline and dimethyltoluidine are summarized in Table I, where $a$ and $b$ are initial concentrations in moles per liter ${ }^{13}$ of butyl bromide and amine, respectively, and $k$ is the rate constant ( $1 . /$ mole min.). The values of $k$ were obtained as the slopes of the straight lines obtained by plotting $[1 /(b-a)] \ln [a(b-x) / b$. ( $a-x$ )] against time, where $x$ is observed concen-
(12) (a) J. von Braun, Ber.. 33, 1438 (1900); (b) E. D. Hughes C. K. Ingold and G. A. Maw. J. Chem. Soc.. 2072 (1948).
(13) In the preceding papers of this series, we used $a$ and $b$ to designate initial concentrations of reagents in moles per gram of reactlon mixture.
tration of bromide ion at time $t$. The scatter of individual chord slopes from the mean slope corresponds to about $1 \%$ in $k$ at $25^{\circ}$ and $2 \%$ at $50^{\circ}$. The reactions were followed to the conversions ( $x / a$ or $x / b$, depending on which reagent vas present in excess) shown as "\%" in Table I which were reached at the final times (hr.) given under $t_{\mathrm{f}}$. Using the Arrhenius equation, the values of $\Delta E$ calculated from the chord slope between 25 and $50^{\circ}$ are 15.0 for dimethylaniline and 14.0 for dimethyltoluidine. As expected, the $p$-methyl group of the latter lowers the energy of activation and increases the rate constant.

## Table I

Quaternization of Monobasic Amines; Dimetlyylaniline

| t. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $a$ | $b$ | \% | $\iota_{1}$ | 104k |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 0.2344 | 0.0921 | 37.8 | 1079.7 | 0.350 |
| 25 | . 0746 | 2396 | 28.4 | 691.0 | 0.353 |
| 50 | . 1625 | . 1506 | 31.9 | 187.9 | 2.50 |
| 50 | . 1943 | 1513 | 36.5 | 187.5 | 2.48 |
| Dimethyltoluidine |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.1701 | . 4271 | 57.7 | 408.3 | 0.93 |
| 25 | . 4659 | . 1713 | 56.0 | 361.6 | 0.90 |
| 50 | . 1948 | . 3774 | 85.0 | 198.8 | 6.07 |
| 50 | . 4792 | . 2058 | 55.0 | 54.6 | 5.82 |

When we come to the diamines, there are of course two rate constants to be considered

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N} . . \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{BuBr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N} . . \mathrm{N}^{+}+\mathrm{Br}^{\prime}{ }_{\left(k_{1}\right)}{ }^{\prime}  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{N} . . \mathrm{N}^{+}+\mathrm{BuBr} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N}^{+} \ldots \mathrm{N}^{+}+\mathrm{Br}^{\prime}\left(k_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where (3) and (4) are consecutive reactions which compete for butyl bromide. If concentrations (moles/liter) are represented by these symbols

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=[\mathrm{BuBr}], x=\left[\mathrm{Br}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& B=[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}], y=\left[\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}^{+}\right], z=\left[\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{N}^{+}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

the following stoichiometric relations obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
b=B+y+z \\
a=A+x  \tag{6}\\
x=y+2 z
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are initial concentrations of alkyl halide and base, respectively. The reactions are described by the differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} B / \mathrm{d} t=-k_{1}^{\prime} B A  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{~d} y / \mathrm{d} t=-k_{2} y A+k_{1}^{\prime} B A  \tag{8}\\
\mathrm{~d} A / \mathrm{d} t=-k_{1}^{\prime} B A-k_{2} y A  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{~d} x / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}^{\prime} B A+k_{2} y A \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Explicit solution in the form $x=\left(k_{1}{ }^{\prime}, k_{2}, a, b, t\right)$ cannot be obtained, but elimination of time followed by integration gives ${ }^{14}$ the following relationships between instantaneous concentration:s

$$
\begin{gather*}
y=\left(B \alpha b^{1-\alpha}-B\right) /(1-\alpha)  \tag{11}\\
z=b-\left(B \alpha b^{1-\alpha}-\alpha B\right) /(1-\alpha) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
$x=2 b-\left(B \alpha b^{1-\alpha}\right) /(1-\alpha)-B(1-2 \alpha) /(1-\alpha)$
(13)

[^2]Equations 11, 12 and 13 express $x, y$ and $z$ as functions of $B$ and the ratio $\alpha=k_{2} \cdot k_{1}^{\prime}$; integration of (7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln b_{i}^{\prime} B=k_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{i}(\alpha \cdots n)\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right. \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In principle, we can eliminate $B$ between (13) and (14), but due to the (unknown) fractional exponents in (11-13), this operation cannot be carried out in closed form, and hence the graphical or numerical methods of previous authors must be used in general. By using a large excess of either one of the reagents, however, it is possible to reduce the reactions to pseudo-first order and obtain the rate constants from the corresponding equations in a fairly direct way.

In comparing diamines with the corresponding mono-amine, a stoichiometric factor of two appears because one mole of diamine naturally contains two equivalents of quaternizable nitrogen. If we designate by $k_{1}$ the rate constant for the bimolecular reaction between a mono-amine and an alkyl halide, it is numerically the same in units (1./mole min.) or ( 1 . /equiv. min.) When a diamine is considered, the $k_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ of the above equation then becomes $2 k_{1}$ where $k_{1}$ is the rate constant for the corresponding mono-amine. This easily can be shown by kinetic argument. Suppose $k_{1}$ is the rate constant for the reaction $\mathrm{RN}+\mathrm{BuBr}_{1}$ and let $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ be the constants (1. mole min.) for the quaternizations of a diamine whose nitrogens are at first different (e.g., one aryl, one alkyl): RN $\mathrm{NAr} \rightarrow \mathrm{RN} \mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{NAr}(k) ; \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{N}^{-}-\mathrm{NR} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{N}^{+}-$ NR $\left(k^{\prime}\right)$. Then for the first quaternization, the total rate of production of bronide ion is $\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t=$ $k A B+k^{\prime} A B$. Now let $\mathrm{R}=\phi$; then $k=k^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t=2 k A B$. We shall theretore use $2 k_{1}$ to replace $k_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ of (7) - (10) in all subsequent equations. The analysis which follows requires, for rapid convergence, that $k_{2}<2 k_{1}$. We tested several sets of our data by Swain's graphical method ${ }^{15}$ for consecutive first-order reactions and found that this condition was fulfilled.

When excess base is presertt $(2 b \gg a)$, then $z$ $=\left[\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{N}^{+}\right]$can be neglected in eq. 6 , with $x \approx$ $y$. Then (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t=2 k_{1}(a-x)(b-K x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=1-k_{2} / 2 k_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration and use of the initial condition $x=0$ at $t=0$ immediately gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{b-K a} \ln \frac{\left.a^{\prime} b-K x\right)}{b(a-x)}=2 k_{1} t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $b \gg K a$ by hypothesis, (17) becomes, to first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\prime}(x)=(1, b) \ln [a /(a-x)] \approx 2 k_{1} t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k_{1}$ is determined by the initial slope of the function given in (18). Then from the other limiting case ( $a \gg 2 b$ ), a first approximation to $k_{2}$ is obtained; these two values give $K$ for insertion in (17), and a second approximation to $k_{1}$ is obtained.

When excess alkyl halide is present, $a \gg x$, $a-x \approx a$ and (7) becomes

$$
\mathrm{d} B / \mathrm{d} t \approx-2 k_{1} a B
$$

[^3]which immediately integrates to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=b \exp \left(-2 k_{1} a t\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

To the same approximation, (10) becomes after substitution of (19)
$\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t=2 a b\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \exp \left(-2 k_{1} a t\right)+a k_{2}(2 b-x)$
which on integration subject to the boundary condition at $t=0$ gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1-(x / 2 b)=\left[\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) /\left(2 k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right] \exp \left(-2 k_{1} a t\right)+ \\
{\left[k_{1} /\left(2 k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right] \exp \left(-k_{2} a t\right)}
\end{array}
$$

By hypothesis, $2 k_{1}>k_{2}$, so if we wait long enough (i.e., until substantially all diamine molecules have been once-quaternized; $x / 2 b \geqslant 0.5$ ), the first term on the right of (21) becomes negligible compared to the second, and a plot of $F_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\ln [1-(x / 2 b)]$ against time gives a first approximation to $k_{2}$. This value, together with the first approximation to $k_{1}$ from the previous case allows us to compute the correction termin (21), and then a plot of
$F_{2}(x)=\ln \left\{1-x / 2 b-\left[f k_{1}-k_{q}\right) /\left(2 k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right] \exp \left(-2 k_{1}(t t)\right\}$ against time gives a second approximation to $k_{2}$.

Table II

| Quatersization of Dibasic Amines |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $b$ | $\%$ | $t$ | 10'kl | 10\%/5; |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.03080 | 0.3185 | 37.2 | 476.9 | 0.75 |  |
| 03273 | . 3135 | 36.0 | 454.2 | 0.77 |  |
| 4423 | 04229 | 93.9 | 2852.0 |  | 0.30 |
| 3652 | 03441 | 85.4 | 19890 |  | 0.35 |
| . 03592 | 3464 | 46.9 | 148.3 | 5. 10) |  |
| . 02997 | 2388 | 45.4 | 168.3 | 5.25 |  |
| . 5236 | ()4949 | 87.3 | 273.3 |  | 2.1 |
| . 5004 | . 04006 | 88.5 | 299.8 |  | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01321 | 0.1622 | 38.4 | 168.7 | 4.65 |  |
| .01378 | $155 \bar{i}$ | 40.5 | 194.0 | 4.7) |  |
| . 1808 | . 01583 | 90.5 | 604.0 |  | 3.22 |
| 1710 | . 01165 | 95.0 | 790.7 |  | 3.40 |
| . 02717 | 2332 | 49.3 | 47.8 | 37.0 |  |
| . 0161675 | 1810 | 48.9 | 47.6 | 37.3 |  |
| 2032 | 01762 | 96.8 | 99.2 |  | 27.0 |
| 2093 | (21418 | 92.8 | 75.7 |  | -7.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01800 | 0.2130 | 43.7 | 217.2 | 3.88 |  |
| 01774 | 2241 | 45.8 | 213.5 | 4.15 |  |
| . 5163 | 01522 | 98.8 | 523.1 |  | 2.65 |
| 5047 | . 015649 | $90 . \overline{7}$ | 217.3 |  | 298 |
| .02005 | 2843 | 41.6 | 16.53 | 32.5 |  |
| . 01961 | 1991 | 41.5 | 24.82 | 32.3 |  |
| . 4686 | 01495 | 99.4 | $7 \overline{7} .90$ |  | 22.2 |
| . 4458 | . 01370 | 96.2 | 51.28 |  | 22.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.02029 | 0. 2027 | 39.7 | $\underline{24.1}$ | 2.76 |  |
| . 01442 | 1390- | 37.9 | 324.8 | 2.73 |  |
| . 3252 | . 01219 | 9). 5 | 787.0 |  | 1.32 |
| . 5115 | 01746 | 96.8 | 704.6 |  | 133 |
| . 01787 | 1904 | 41.1 | 31.92 | 23.9 |  |
| . 01870 | 1920 | 31.1 | 18.27 | 23.5 |  |
| . 5101 | 01225 | 98.3 | 99.30 |  | 11.7 |
| . 4500 | . 01524 | 99.4 | 129.42 |  | 11.9 |

The results for the diamines ${ }^{16}$ are summarized in Table II, where the symbols (except $k$, of course) have the same meaning as in Table I. The values of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the final values obtained by the method of successive approximations described above.

An example of the determination of $k_{1}$ is shown in Fig. 3, for 1,2-di-(4-pyridyl)-ethylene at $50^{\circ}$ where $b / a=10.66$. At the beginning of the reac-


Fig. 3.-Evaluation of $k_{1}$.
tion, $F_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ defined by (17) and (18), are indistinguishable, but after about half of the butyl bromide has reacted, $F_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ becomes visibly concavedown (dashed curve). However, using $k_{2} / 2 k_{1} \approx$ 0.26 from the preliminary values of these constants to evaluate $K$, we obtain $F_{1}(x)$ which is linear up to $41 \%$ reaction, where the experiment was terminated. In Fig. 4 is shown the determination of $k_{2}$ for 1,2 -di-(4-pyridyl)-ethylene at $50^{\circ}$ where $a / b=41.6$. After somewhat more than one-half of the nitrogens have been quaternized, $F_{2}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ $=\ln [1-(x / 2 b)]$ becomes practically linear, and a preliminary value of $k_{2}$ is given by the slope of the line; in the earlier stages of the reaction, $F_{2}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ is curved as expected (dashed curve). But again, with the preliminary value of $k_{2}$, and $k_{1}$ from the other run, the exponential correction term in $F_{2}(x)$ can be evaluated and the result is the linear plot shown.

The average values of the rate constants for the diamines are summarized in Table III and the activation energies $\Delta E$ in Table IV, where Ar denotes the $p-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group and Py the 4 -pyridyl group. For comparison, we recall the following values for the reference monobasic amines: dimethylaniline, $10^{4} k\left(25^{\circ}\right)=0.35,10^{4} k\left(50^{\circ}\right)=$ 2.49 and $\Delta E=15.0$; dimethyltoluidine, 0.92 ,
(16) One other diamine, $p, p^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminobenzophenone was investigated only in a preliminary way; its solubility was very luw (about 0.04 M ) and its rate of reaction was extremely slow. Even with a very great excess of butyl bromide, the reaction was only $50 \%$ complete after 11 days at $50^{\circ}$. Evidently the central carbonyl reduces the electron density at the nitrogens so far that quaternization becomes extremely sluggish.

6.0 and 14.0 ; pyridine, ${ }^{4} 2.58,22.3$ and 16.4 ; 4 -picoline, ${ }^{4} 4.7,39.0$ and 16.2.

It will be seen that $k_{1}$ for $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ is somewhat smaller than the constant for dimethyltoluidine but considerably larger than that for dimethylaniline: the medial methylene group naturally can increase the electron density in the two adjacent rings less

Table III
Rate Constants of Diamine Quaternizations

| Diamine | $10^{1 k_{1}}$ | $-25^{\circ}-10_{2}$ | $k_{2} / 2 k_{1}$ | $10^{4} k_{1}$ | $-50^{\circ}-$ | $k_{2} / 2 k_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ | 0.77 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}$ | 4.7 | 3.3 | . 36 | 37.2 | 27.0 | 37 |
| $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ | 4.0 | 2.8 | . 35 | 32.3 | 22.2 | . 34 |
| $\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}$ | 2.75 | 1.33 | 24 | 23.7 | 11.8 | 25 |

Table IV
Activation Energies of Quaternization with Butyl Bromide

| Reaction | $\triangle E$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar} \longrightarrow\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)^{+}$ | 14.6 |
| $\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)^{+} \longrightarrow\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)^{++}$ | 14.0 |
| $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py} \mathrm{Pr} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}\right]+$ | 15.9 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}\right]^{+} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}\right]^{++}$ | 16.3 |
| $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right]^{+}$ | 15.8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right]^{+} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right]^{++}$ | 16.2 |
| $\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}^{\text {] }}{ }^{+}\right.$ | 16.5 |
| $[\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}]^{+} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}^{+}\right]^{++}$ | 16.7 |

than the single methyl group in the toluidine can influence its one ring. The result shows, however, that the methylene group is electron-repelling, as expected. Next, we note that the rate constant for the second quaternization is less than half that of the first. One might argue that the fully charged nitrogen at one end of the molecule transmits an inductive effect through the single methylene linking the two aryl groups; this effect is certainly present, but consideration of the pyridyl derivatives suggests that an additional effect must be present. The rate of the first quaternization of $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}$ is identical with that of 4 -picoline but that of the second is only $70 \%$ as large. After transmission through three methylene groups, an inductive_effect
is strongly reduced, ${ }^{17}$ but let us concede for the moment that the reduction here is due to an inductive effect. This hypothesis is inmmediately contradicted by the results for $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ : here, $k_{2}$ is again $70 \%$ of $k_{1}$ and the change from three to two methylenes would call for a much greater reduction in $k_{2}$ if the reduction were due solely to an effect transmitted intramolecularly along the methylene chain. Furthermore the effect of the first quaternization in $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ seems too large when compared with the (almost identical) observed effect in $\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}$, where the charge is in the ring which is conjugated with the second ring via the ethylene linkage. Structural models show that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ distance in $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Py}$ is only slightly greater than in $\mathrm{Py}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{9}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ and that this distance is less for $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$. Taken together, these results argue that an electrostatic field effect is operating: the positive charge on the nitrogen first quaternized would attract an approaching BuBr molecule (dipole) and thereby decrease its probability of reaching the uncharged nitrogen and hence decrease the probability of forming the intermediate complex necessary for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction at that site. The activation energy for the second quaternization is
(17) C. K. Ingold. 'Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry,' Cornell University Press, Ithaea, New York, N. Y., 19a3, p. 737, Table 46-6.
slightly higher than that for the first; this could well correspond to the extra energy needed for the BuBr molecule to make a successful contact with the uncharged nitrogen in the field of the first charged one. An alternative electrostatic effect cannot be excluded; indeed botli may be active. The reaction medium is highly polar; therefore electrostriction on a molecular scale might so increase the local density around a once quaternized diamine that the diffusion rate of the BuBr nolecules to the reaction site would be decreased.

Comparison of the results for $\mathrm{PyCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ and $\mathrm{PyCH}=\mathrm{CHPy}$ also argues against an intranolecular effect as the sole cause of the decrease in $k_{2}$ with respect to $k_{1}$ : in the ethane, the two rings are joined by the essentially insulating metlylene groups, while in the ethylene, they are joined by an excellent electronic conductor; hence any intramolecular effect due to the first quaternization should be enormously enhanced in the ethylene. Experiment shows only a slightly greater effect. The absolute magnitude of $k_{1}$ for the ethylene is about the same as the rate constant for pyridine; this may well be a consequence of the conjugative coupling which leads to the same electron density in PyCH $=$ CHPy as in pyridine: push and pull just balance.
New Haven, Connecticut
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# Syntheses and Infrared Spectra of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated- $\beta$-ketoamines and their Copper Chelates ${ }^{1}$ 

By Henry F. Holfzclaw, Jr., James P. Collman and Richard M. Alire<br>Received August 14, 1957

Syntheses and infrared spectra are described for several $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated- $\beta$-ketoamines and their copper chelates. The spectra are discussed and comparisons are made with spectra for other compounds with which analogies can logically be expected.

## Introduction

In a previous paper from this Laboratory, ${ }^{2}$ the infrared spectra for various 1,3 -diketones and their metal chelates were discussed. The present paper discusses syntheses and infrared spectra of several $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated- $\beta$-ketoamines and their copper chelates.

Although a few $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated- $\beta$-ketoamines have been prepared previously, the literature reveals very little work with metal chelates of these compounds. Combes and Combes ${ }^{3}$ reported the formation of a crystalline material in an alcoholic solution of 4 -amino-3-pentene-2-one and copper(II) acetate. No attempt to characterize the substance was reported. Ueno and Martell ${ }^{4}$ have recently reported the preparation and spectra of the ligand molecules and several corresponding metal

[^4]chelates for bisacetylacetoneethylenediimine and related compounds.

## Results and Discussion

Three fornis, A, B and C, are possible for the $\alpha, \beta$-u11saturated- $\beta$-ketoamines.


A


B


C

Ueno and Martell ${ }^{4}$ concluded that a tautomeric equilibrium exists between the forms corresponding to A and B for bisacetylacetoneethylenediimine and related substances. Inasmuch as no absorption was obtained in the region around $1700 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$, the free carbonyl group, and hence structure C, was ruled out for that type of compound. Cromwell, et al.,$^{5}$ in an infrared study of various amino-sub-
(5) N. H. Cromwell, F. A. Miller, A. R. Johnson, R. I.. Frank and 1). J. Wullace, This Journat, 71. 3337 (1049).
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